Purpose of report
Update on appeal decisions from the Planning Inspectorate and an analysis of the main issues, to monitor consistency between the council's and Planning Inspectorate's decisions.

Attachment(s)
Appendix 1 - Appeal decisions from 25 May 2019 to 20 June 2019

1.0 Introduction
1.1 This report advises on the outcome of planning appeals determined by the Planning Inspectorate from Appeal decisions from 25 May 2019 to 20 June 2019 and analyses the decisions made by the Planning Management Committee and officers under delegated authority. Details of costs awarded against the council (if any) are also given.

2.0 Equality and Diversity Implications
2.1 There are no equality and diversity implications.

3.0 Legal Implications
3.1 There are no legal implications.

4.0 Risk Management
4.1 There are no significant risks.

5.0 Financial implications
5.1 There are no financial implications except for those decisions where costs have been awarded against the council.

6.0 Privacy Impact Assessment
6.1 There are no privacy implications.

7.0 Constitutional Implications
7.1 There are no Constitutional Implications.

8.0 Implications for our Customers
8.1 There are no implications for our customers.

9.0 Corporate Outcomes
9.1 The report supports priority outcomes set out in the Corporate Plan - Effective Management; and Value for Money.
10.0 Recommendation

10.1 The Committee is recommended to note the report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Power: Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other considerations: None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background Papers:</th>
<th>Office Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person Originating Report:</th>
<th>Rosalind Johnson, Planning Development Manager</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☎ 01832 742045 ✉ <a href="mailto:rjohnson@east-northamptonshire.gov.uk">rjohnson@east-northamptonshire.gov.uk</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: 21 June 2019

CFO | DMO | CX |
---|-----|----|
|    | 24/06/19 | 24/06/19 |

[Signatures]
This appeal was made against the Council’s refusal to grant advertisement consent for a sign board measuring 2.5m x 5m, mounted on a chassis with wheels. The sign was positioned on the A45 Roundabout at Raunds, outside Ringsted and advertised the local fish bar. The sign, which had been erected, has since been removed.

The Council refused advertisement consent on the grounds of visual amenity. In dismissing the appeal, the Inspector agreed with the Council and stated:

‘By reason of its siting, scale and design, the proposed sign would be highly visible and unduly prominent when seen from the surrounding roads. As a commercial feature it would not have any relationship to the field in which it would be sited or to the rural character of this side of the road. I conclude that it would, therefore, harm the amenity of the area and as other signs have previously been erected in the same position, if approved would be likely to set a precedent for other signs thereby causing further harm.’