

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|--|--------|---|-------------|----------------|---|
| Matter 1 - Legal and Procedural Requirements | | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | AM02 - Alter to Main modification | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 2 | 1 | Alter Policy EN 33 to be a Strategic Policy in App 1 | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 3 | 1 | Provide an up to date list of Neighbourhood Plans | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12601/action_point_3_neighbourhood_plan_update |
| 4 | 1 | Provide a Larger Version of Policies Map | 06.04.22 | 06.04.22 | |
| Matter 2 - Spatial Strategy | | | | | |
| 5 | 2 | Remove reference to 'golden thread' in para 3.8 to ensure NPPF consistency | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 6 | 2 | Delete Policy of JCS - Cross Refer instead | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 7 | 2 | Revise para 3.10, reference to 'development plan must be read as a whole' Policy EN1 | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 8 | 2 | Supporting text to EN1 to clarify relative status of Rushden/Higham Ferrers | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 9 | 2 | Delete 'future' in first para of EN1 | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 10 | 2 | Delete 'urban focussed' in para 1; just refer to spatial strategy | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 11 | 2 | Criterion C; part 1 delete 'the outstanding allocations'. Refer to outstanding requirement or allocated sites | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 12 | 2 | Criterion C - review term 'will come forward'. | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 13 | 2 | Part 2 - Free Standing Villages - review and redraft criteria 2a & 2b in order to provide greater clarity and to differentiate between the scale of development in the larger and smaller freestanding villages, including whether rural exception schemes would be allowed in larger free-standing villages. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 14 | 2 | Part 3; delete 'general presumption against'. Replace with 'development will be refused' for clarity/certainty. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 15 | 2 | Supporting text to policy - clarify what is meant by 'isolated positions' | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 16 | 2 | Criterion a) review use of word 'appropriate' | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 17 | 2 | Review whether position re rural exception sites within restraint villages, particularly in terms of consistency with JCS | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 18 | 2 | Policy EN1 - generally review degree of repetition with Policies EN2, EN3, EN4 and EN5. Consider incorporating DM style criteria (within EN2 - 5) which guide the scale and type of development in the various categories of settlements within Policy EN1. Review Policies EN2-5 and consider defining (seperately to EN1) 'infill development/development on the periphery of a settlement and guidance for defining settlement boundaries for Neighbourhood Plans in a policy or supporting text/glossary. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 19 | 2 | Generally review policies EN2-5 and spatial strategy section as described above. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 20 | 2 | Figure 6 - show extension of urban area to north of trunk road | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 21 | 2 | Para 4.32 clarify 'urban areas' defined as within a settlement boundary (where they exist) | 20.05.22 | 05.10.22 | |
| 22 | 2 | Policy EN6 Criterion E) Clarify that the criteria would be judged against the national space standards | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 23 | 2 | Criterion F) Clarify what is meant by 'wider character area' | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 24 | 2 | Last para - replace 'should' with 'will'. | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| Matter 3 - Delivering the Housing Requirement | | | | | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|---|--------|---|-------------|----------------|---|
| 25 | | Housing Supply - List of Sites: For each of the sources of supply set out in Q3 of the MIQs - list the sites which contribute to those sources (essentially rearranging information contained in the 2020 update of Appendix 3 of the APS (1 April 2019) but grouped under the Q3 headings whilst retaining the trajectory information) Sub totals for each source of supply would be useful. The sub total for each source should correlate with the Council's response to Q3 3 figures. | 27.05.22 | 05.10.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12653/action_point_25_m3_-_housing_site_schedule_by_category |
| 26 | | Housing Supply - Summary Table: which brings together a summary of the above information, setting out the sub totals for each source of supply for each of the main settlements and the rural areas with a summary of the residual requirement for each settlement/rural area. | 27.05.22 | 05.10.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12652/action_point_26_summary_housing_figures_01-04-20 |
| 27 | | 3 Prepare a housing trajectory for the Plan. | 27.05.22 | 05.10.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12651/action_point_27_-_housing_trajectory_by_category |
| 28 | | 3 Provide an update of the current position in relation to Knights Farm, Rushden for Matter 6. | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12591/action_point_28_knights_farm_update |
| 29 | | 3 Amend the supply tables/trajectory for Rushden SUE, reflecting discussions. | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 30 | | 3 Provide evidence of delivery rates which informed the JCS/Kettering Plan | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12597/action_point_30_-_delivery_rates_for_the_hanwood_park_sue |
| 31 | | 3 Provide evidence of historic windfall rates, differentiating between urban and rural areas | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12590/action_point_31_housing_windfall_figures_-_urban_and_rural |
| 32 | | 3 Reconsider / clarify Council's position regarding the previous development allocations of Addington Rd, Ashton Rd/Herne Rd and Dairy Farm as to whether they are deliverable and whether they are carried forward as allocations taking into account more up-to-date information re delivery. Are they to be relied upon as a contribution to housing land supply in the plan period? | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12593/action_point_32_position_regarding_previous_plan_allocations |
| 33 | | 3 Reflect any consequential changes arising in the Housing Land Supply position tables / trajectory requested through the first two Matter 3 AP's above. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 34 | | 3 Main Mod to reflect the 10% small sites requirement in both urban and rural areas. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 35 | | 3 Consequential changes to propped MM21a (in due course) | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| Matter 4 - Housing Allocations in Oundle | | | | | |
| 36 | | 4 EN25 - Update re cemetery extension and mechanism for securing extension | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12599/action_point_36_cemetery_update_sd_road |
| 37 | | 4 EN25 - Adjust trajectory for EN25 | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 38 | | 4 EN25 - Check SoCG with Historic England for reference to a possible modification re protection of heritage assets | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12602/action_point_38_historic_england_socg |
| 39 | | 4 EN25 - Consider capacity - 70 or 72 dwellings? | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |
| 40 | | 4 EN25 - Delete reference to 'other policy requirements'. | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 41 | | 4 EN25 - Figure 14: delete arrows and reference to 'longer term development potential' so as not to fetter future decision making. | 20.05.22 | 27.05.22 | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|--|--------|---|-------------|----------------|---|
| 42 | 4 | EN27 - Copy of Appeal Decision re St Christophers Drive | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12561/land_between_st_christophers_drive_and_a605_oundle_bypass_appeal_decision |
| 43 | 4 | EN27 - Status of condition discharge for highway -O/L+ clarification re emergency access arrangement (criterion c). | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12595/action_point_43_st_christophers_drive_condition_discharge_status |
| 44 | 4 | EN27 - Check what the SFRA concluded re EN27 | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12604/action_point_44_en27_sfra_conclusions |
| 45 | 4 | EN24 - Consider whether to retain generic policy for EN24 for Oundle (including degree of overlap with other villages). | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| Matter 5 Housing Allocations in Higham Ferrers | | | | | |
| 46 | 5 | EN37 - Clarification re Policy basis for criterion e) contribution to education and training | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12594/action_point_46_policy_en37_criterion_e |
| 47 | 5 | EN38 - Update Trajectory | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | |
| 48 | 5 | EN38 - Review consistency with EN37 re contribution to education and training | 20.05.22 | 20.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12603/action_point_48_ref_to_policy_en38_federal_estates |
| Matter 6 - Delivering the Sustainable Urban Extension at Rushden East | | | | | |
| 49 | 6 | General-add committee and full council meetings/minutes relating to the development of the Rushden East SUE to the list of examination documents | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/meetings/meeting/1110/planning_policy_committee |
| 50 | 6 | Provide reference to landscape and highways evidence to support the Council's stance regarding the proposed restriction of large scale warehousing on visual impact and highways grounds. | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12598/action_point_50_landscape_and_highway_evidence_rushden_east_sue |
| 51 | 6 | Add Rushden East SUE MFD Consultation Feedback document dated 27 January 2020 to examination documents | 27.05.22 | 27.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/meetings/meeting/1031/council |
| 52 | 6 | MFD: | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 53 | 6 | First blue box planning requirements: delete 'unambiguous' evidence (subject to redrafting of Policy EN33 remaining MFD document). | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 54 | 6 | Review all MFD blue box planning requirements with a view to separating the policy/dpd requirements/high level principles from the more detailed design guidance by: | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 55 | 6 | a) Identifying high level policy/DPD requirements for inclusion in Policy EN33 | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| | | b) Identify planning application 'checklist' items | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| | | c) Identify more detailed design guidance for retention in a the MFD/ potential SPD | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 56 | 6 | Linked to the above, review and strengthen Policy EN33 by: | | | |
| | | a) the inclusion of policy requirements currently set out in the MFD (being careful not to add in any additional requirements) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 57 | 6 | b) Seek to make the wording of the policy requirements more concise. If necessary include supporting text to explain). | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 58 | 6 | c) Policy EN33 should provide the 'policy hook' for the master plan or SPD. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|---|--------|--|-------------|----------------|---|
| 59 | 6 | MFD housing planning requirements: align wording of bullet point 3 to reflect Policy 30 of JCS or subsequent wording in EN33 | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 60 | 6 | MFD housing requirements-amend to state 'custom and/or self-build....(in revised Policy EN33) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 61 | 6 | MFD Transport requirements; bullet point 4: review mechanism by which employment provision can be linked with housing provision. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 62 | 6 | Policy EN33-last sentence of 5th paragraph-consider more positive wording in relation to integration with Rushden/Higham Ferrers | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| Matter 7 - Meeting Housing Needs | | | | | |
| 63 | 7 | Policy EN29: amend policy to refer to a 'target of 5%' (as opposed to a minimum) | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 64 | 7 | Policy EN29: amend policy to include a site size threshold of 20 dwellings | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 65 | 7 | Council to provide data relating to the analysis of the Council's existing stock which is wheelchair accessible (data to be sanitised to reflect data protection issues). | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | LPP2 Action Points North Northamptonshire Council - East Northamptonshire Area (east-northamptonshire.gov.uk) |
| 66 | 7 | EN29 Policy to also refer to wheelchair adaptable housing, reflecting PPG (nomination rights-wheelchair accessible housing). | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 67 | 7 | Policy EN30: Include reference to the need to include evidence to support the proposed housing mix | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 68 | 7 | Policy EN31: Paragraph 3; bullet point 3-include caveat that evidence may justify a departure. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 69 | 7 | MM30: Apply to whole policy as opposed to just paragraph 3. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 70 | 7 | Policy EN31: Review consistency between thrid sentence which requires a 'minimum' of 10% of housing for older people and paragraph 3 which states simply states '20% of housing for older people'. Should the latter also be expressed as a minumum? | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 71 | 7 | Consider the relocation of paragraphs relating to the 'type of housing provision' to supporting text or streamlining the text. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 72 | 7 | Amend reference to appendix 5 (not appendix 3) | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 73 | 7 | Policy EN27: amend reference to 'older persons' need to extra care provision for consistency with Cii of EN31. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 74 | 7 | Appendix 5, last box: amend the type of home to also include 'smaller homes', in addition to apartments and bungalows for consistency with EN31. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 75 | 7 | Document G15-update table to reflect current position including all sites (and A6/Bedford Road). Review the effect of the update on the proposed % requirement in Policy EN32 | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12641/action_point_75_-_update_to_table_on_document_g15 |
| 76 | 7 | EN32: Review the potential for smaller sites and smaller housebuilders to contribute to the custom build requirement (solely custom build sites) (withn parameters of the spatial strategy). | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| Matter 8 - Employment | | | | | |
| 77 | 8 | Consider whether to include reference to the 'minimum' jobs target in paragraph 7.17 taking account the JCS. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12654/action_point_77_policy_en18_development_of_commercial_space_to_support_economic_growth |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|--------------------------------|--------|---|-------------|----------------|---|
| 78 | | Rushden Gateway (Nene Valley Farm) submit a copy of the decision notice to the examination documents | 06.05.22 | 06.05.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12655/action_point_78_-_rushden_gateway_decision_notice |
| 79 | 8 | Raunds West-update on the appeal status (withdrawn?) | 27.05.22 | | |
| 80 | 8 | Policy EN18: Delete reference to 'future' | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 81 | 8 | Policy EN18/supporting text: clarify term 'commercial employment space' which uses does the policy support? | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 82 | 8 | EN18 Clarify intention of policy-is it to support only small/micro-businesses or is it also intended to support larger businesses. How would the Council consider proposals for larger scale businesses? Ensure that the title of the policy reflects the policy intention. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 83 | 8 | Policy EN19: 2nd sentence: consider inclusion of 'on the site' after 'the overall provision of employment..... | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 84 | 8 | Third sentence: consider reference to 'net' employment provision, bearing in mind employment densities. Review the objective of the policy-is it to protect employment land, the number of jobs or both? | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 85 | 8 | Review the justification for criterion c: if criterion a and b are met is criterion c justified? Should 'and' or and/or separate criteria b and c or is there an alternative approach? | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 86 | 8 | EN20: Review duplication in criteria a and b in terms of the impact on the countryside and also duplication of first paragraph with criterion b in relation to the impact on neighbour amenity. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| Matter 9 - Town Centres | | | | | |
| 87 | 9 | EN21 MM to clarify to which town centres the policy applies | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 88 | 9 | Consider potential to include the Splash Pool site within the town centre boundary | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12645/action_point_88_-_consideration_of_inclusion_of_splash_pool_in_tc_boundary |
| 89 | 9 | Key to Rushden and Higham Ferrers inset map should refer to EN21 not EN23 for town centre boundaries and primary shopping frontages | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 90 | 9 | EN21 Include reference to 'where appropriate' in the last sentence of first paragraph to clarify that not all of the criteria would necessarily apply in all circumstances. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 91 | 9 | EN21 criterion a. Fullstop after 'main town centre uses'. Delete remainder of the sentence | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 92 | 9 | EN21 criterion c reword to say 'avoiding an over concentration of a particular town centre use', with the exception of retailing'. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 93 | 9 | EN21 criterion d reword to refer to 'defined primary frontages' (as opposed to 'shopping' frontages). | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 94 | 9 | Glossary: alter to reflect that 'primary shopping area' is not the same as 'primary shopping frontage'-include separate definitions | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 95 | 9 | Supporting text to EN21: clarify that town centre boundaries in the market towns are essentially the same as a primary shopping area (in terms of the NPPF definition) due to the small scale of the centres. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 96 | 9 | EN21; criterion g: consider term 'local development strategies'; local regeneration strategies? Clarify what is meant by this in the supporting text to EN21 and potential reference to the emerging Town Centre design codes. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 97 | 9 | Policy EN22: amend to clarify to which town centres the policy applies. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|------------------------------------|--------|---|-------------|----------------|---|
| 98 | 9 | EN22 policy refers to 'primary shopping areas' but these aren't defined. Refer to town centre boundaries instead. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 99 | 9 | EN22 last paragraph; last sentence: insert 'adverse' between no and significant | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 100 | 9 | EN22 last paragraph: refer to both sequential and impact test | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 101 | 9 | EN22 criteria a and b amend to state Rushden Town Centre and Market Town Centres | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 102 | 9 | EN23 reconsider the justification for the proposed 'edge of local centre' approach, given the small scale of centres. Reconsider distance of 200m if propose to retain. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 103 | 9 | EN23 -delete 'presumption in favour' in first paragraph. Replace with 'supported'. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 104 | 9 | EN23 Include the names of the local centres to which the policy applies | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 105 | 9 | EN23 reconsider title which refers to 'main town centre uses' | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 106 | 9 | EN23 reconsider criterion d in relation to the removal of permitted development rights | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 107 | 9 | EN23 criterion e: reconsider cross-reference to EN22 (and change ref from EN21 to EN22). Thresholds apply to Rushden and Market Towns and not local centres. Is it simpler to remove the criterion? | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 108 | 9 | Appendix 4: Raunds and Stanwick Local Centres map refers to 'secondary shopping area' but there is no associated policy in the local plan-make clear this relates to the policy in the Neighbourhood Plan. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| Matter 10 - Town Strategies | | | | | |
| 109 | 10 | EN34: Reword first sentence to 'development proposals for town centres' (not sites). List town centres to which they apply. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 110 | 10 | EN34 clarify whether the policy applies within designated town centre boundaries or wider town centres in supporting text | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 111 | 10 | Table 24 remove third column (AM) | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 112 | 10 | Policy EN35: clarify approach as to whether an allocation or an 'opportunity area' to which EN35 applies. If an allocation-consider inclusion of the curtilage of the splash pool and wilkinson site. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 113 | 10 | EN35/Policies map: If an allocation or 'opportunity area' reflect on policies map | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 114 | 10 | EN35 include reference to uses which would be acceptable ('town centre uses?') | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 115 | 10 | EN35 criterion e-strengthen criterion in terms of the need to make replacement provision of an equivalent value to mitigate the loss of leisure facilities. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 116 | 10 | Paragraph 10.21 include reference to the flooding issue to supporting text | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 117 | 10 | EN39 provide reasons for refusal for the planning applications associated with the site. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12643/action_point_117_reasons_for_refusal_on_site_of_en39 |
| 118 | 10 | EN40 Provide a note for the Inspector setting out sports/pitch provision which has been provided/is proposed to be provided which would mitigate for the loss of the facility. The note should set out the floorspace of the built and pitch facilities of the former stadium and also the size and nature of the replacement provision in order to assess whether replacement facilities are of an equivalent value. | 01.07.22 | 05.10.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12647/action_point_118_note_on_approach_to_policy_en40 |
| 119 | 10 | MM37 check whether proposed text re HRA is consistent with the legislation. | 01.07.22 | 05.10.22 | |
| 120 | 10 | EN41 Criterion a) MM to refer to 'preservation and enhancement' (as opposed to retention and enhancement). | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |
| 121 | 10 | Title of chapter: Town Strategies or Town Centre Strategies? | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Matter 11 - Social Capital | | | | | |
| 122 | 11 | EN12 Include the requirement for the HIA and threshold in the policy itself | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 123 | 11 | EN12 Remove reference to 'large major development' | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 124 | 11 | EN12 Remove reference to JCS Policy 8 (move to supporting text instead). Refer to place shaping principles/design instead. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 125 | 11 | EN12 criterion a - delete 'other relevant development plan policies'. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 126 | 11 | EN12 criterion c: rephrase 'due consideration'. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 127 | 11 | EN12 criterion e: alter 'in accordance with' to 'have regard to'. Review wording 'at an early stage in the design process' | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 128 | 11 | EN13 criterion g delete cross reference of JCS Policy 30. Include reference to the objective to secure sufficient internal space. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 129 | 11 | EN13 criterion f: should say 'have regard to' rather than requiring compliance with the HMO legislation | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 130 | 11 | EN13 delete criterion H in light of emerging Building Control regulations | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 131 | 11 | EN13 criterion I -amend as per MM11 | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 132 | 11 | EN14: first para: consider deletion of reference to specific examples of heritage assets. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 133 | 11 | EN14: second para: delete 'sustain' and replace with 'protect' | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 134 | 11 | Para 6.24 should cross reference to JCS Policy 2 (not 3) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 135 | 11 | EN15 consider whether criteria in Table10 should be incorporated into the policy itself | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 136 | 11 | Footnote 90: check whether any non-designated historic parks and gardens. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 137 | 11 | EN16: Review criteria ai, ii and iii for overlap with other policies/JCS. Criterion Bi delete. Criterion Bii-delete cross ref to JCS Policy 8. Merge part b criteria into one. Criterion b iii should apply to whole policy. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 138 | 11 | MM14 check reference to 'criteria f'-where should the additional wording go in Policy EN16. Check the wording of the additional SPA text for consistency with HRA regulations (as per Rushden East SUE). | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 139 | 11 | EN17: Consider whether it is necessary to retain the policy given that the school is constructed. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 140 | 11 | If Policy EN17 is to be retained: Either replace or delete figure 11. Move MM21 to section on social capital within mods; | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| Matter 12 Natural capital | | | | | |
| 141 | 12 | EN7: amend title to state Local Green Infrastructure Corridors | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 142 | 12 | EN7: criterion a; refer to Local Green Infrastructure Corridors; consider wording to reflect that not all new development may be able to connect to the GI network/circumstances in which proposals should connect to the network-proximity etc (para 5.12); clarify GI network wider than just the corridors identified on the Plan. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 143 | 12 | EN7 criterion b) clarify how those measures would be achieved-i.e. through the design and layout of schemes | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 144 | 12 | EN17 Criterion c) add additional wording 'existing and proposed' before 'Green Infrastructure Network' | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 145 | 12 | Include threshold in para 5.12 (10+ dwellings) in policy itself. Site size threshold should be 0.5ha to be consistent with definition of major development. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|------|--------|--|-------------|----------------|---|
| 146 | 12 | Clarify approach to on-site/off-site provision/contributions-i.e preference for on-site provision first. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 147 | 12 | Clarify that contributions would not be sought under both EN7 and EN8. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 148 | 12 | MM03 delete reference to 'include access for equestrian use' reflecting that the proposals relate to provision within and around the built up area and would not be suitable for equestrian use. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 149 | 12 | MM04 insert 'where appropriate' after 'equestrian users'. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 150 | 12 | Paragraph 5.14 refer to 'relevant authority' rather than town/parish councils (am). | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 151 | 12 | Figure 8 remove reference to 'unsuitable greenway routes. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 152 | 12 | EN8 include threshold in Policy (10+ dwellings/0.5ha) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 153 | 12 | EN8 clarify which schemes should contribute - i.e. proximity to Greenway/potential for connections etc. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 154 | 12 | Provide update on timetable for GI/Open space SPD | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12640/action_point_154_-_update_for_gi_open_space_spd |
| 155 | 12 | Footnote 62: the requireemnt to contribute to maintenance should be in Policy EN8 itself | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 156 | 12 | MM05 last sentence 'development should (not must) protect and enhance..... | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 157 | 12 | EN9 1st para include reference to being identified by the community for consistency with NPPF. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 158 | 12 | EN9 reword 'the site is closely related to the main built up area of the settlement it is intended to serve' (or similar) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 159 | 12 | EN9 criterion b-include reference to 'beauty' or the visual dimension | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 160 | 12 | EN9 criterion c :avoid fixed parameters- include wording to reflect objective of avoiding extensive tracts of land instead. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 161 | 12 | EN10: first para-site size threshold should be 0.5ha reflecting definition of major development. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 162 | 12 | EN10: delete 'insufficient access'. Refer to where there is an identified quantitative and/or qualitative need (with reference to the Open Space Study or subsequent update). | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 163 | 12 | EN10: clarify in supporting text how contribtions would be calculated (SPD) and where contirbutions would be spent (ref to OS Study and LIP) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 164 | 12 | EN10: Clarify approach to off-site provision-preference for on-site provision first? | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 165 | 12 | EN10: Clarify the approach to avoiding double counting with Policy EN7 and EN8 | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 166 | 12 | EN10: Remove repetition to Rushden East in Policy itself and also supporting text/footnote | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 167 | 12 | EN10: restructure policy to deal with general developments first and then Rushden East SUE. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 168 | 12 | EN10: last paragraph-refer to relevant authority instead of Town/Parish Council | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 169 | 12 | Paragraph 5.32 mising heading above | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 170 | 12 | Table 9 and figure 9-delete (refer in policy to PPS) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 171 | 12 | EN11: restructure policy to deal with general developments first and then strategic development. Refer to Rushden East and Irthlingborough? | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 172 | 12 | EN11 Paragraph 2 -refer to playing pitch strategy rather than Table 7. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 173 | 12 | EN11: need to clarify approach to contributitions generally including: | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| | | delete 'all qualifying development'and include thresholds for major development instead | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |

| Item | Matter | Action | Target Date | Submitted Date | Link to Action Point Document |
|---|--------|--|-------------|----------------|--|
| | | how would contributions be calculated-i.e. PPS as a basis to identify deficiencies/surpluses; how the amount of provision (m2) would be determined (Sport England playing pitch demand calculator (although what about built facilities?)); how this would be translated into a financial contribution (toolkit?) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 174 | 12 | EN11: para 3 delete reference to 'relevant legislation'. | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 175 | 12 | EN11: clarify that paragraph 3 relates to residential development (not employment) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 176 | 12 | Last paragraph should refer to 'relevant authority' nor Town/Parish council | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| Matter 13 Infrastructure and Viability | | | | | |
| 177 | 13 | Explanation as to how the section 106 costs assumptions have been arrived at - 'indicative allowances'. | 20.05.22 | 05.10.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12646/action_point_177_-_note_on_viability https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12639/action_point_177_-_s106_cost_assumptions |
| Matter 14 Monitoring and Implementation | | | | | |
| 178 | 13 | Table 21 Monitoring - make targets more specific; or new column | 27.05.22 | 05.10.22 | |
| Matter 7 Meeting Housing Needs continued | | | | | |
| 179 | 7 | Gypsy and Travellers -Evidence of vacant plots/under-occupancy and extant planning permissions on/for existing sites and the extent to which the pitch requirement of 4 units can be met on existing sites. | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12642/action_point_179_gypsy_and_traveller_sites_permissions https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12637/action_point_179_-_update_26082022 |
| 180 | 7 | Affordable housing: summary sheet setting out: 1) Overall need for affordable housing over Plan period (Table 9.2 SHMA?). 2) All completions of affordable housing from AMR's since the start of the plan period (2011). (to determine the extent to which the affordable housing requirement has been met to date). | 01.07.22 | 01.07.22 | https://www.east-northamptonshire.gov.uk/downloads/file/12644/action_point_180_-_note_on_affordable_housing |
| General | | | | | |
| 181 | | Confirm dates for revisions to Rushden East policy and MFD | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |
| 182 | | Review and confirm target dates for remainder of action points | 13.05.22 | 13.05.22 | |
| 183 | | Clarify protocol for signing off modifications and potential date for consultation on modifications (Autumn?) | 15.07.22 | 15.07.22 | |